International Journal of Management, IT & Engineering

Vol. 7 Issue 3, March 2017,

ISSN: 2249-0558 Impact Factor: 7.119

Journal Homepage: http://www.ijmra.us, Email: editorijmie@gmail.com

Double-Blind Peer Reviewed Refereed Open Access International Journal - Included in the International Serial

Directories Indexed & Listed at: Ulrich's Periodicals Directory ©, U.S.A., Open J-Gage as well as in Cabell's

Directories of Publishing Opportunities, U.S.A

INFLUENCE OF MARKETING FACTORS ON THE
BUYING BEHAVIOUR OF RURAL TELECOM USERS OF
PUNJAB AND CHANDIGARH

Dr. Swati Sachdeva*

Abstract

Consumer buying behaviour is the decision process and acts of the people involved in buying and using the products. Today is the world of consumer oriented market. Consumers are provided with hundred of choices to buy a product. So it has become imperative for the marketers to understand the consumer's needs and wants in order to satisfy them. For the marketers to retain their business it is very important to make available the better options to the consumers. Telecom sector is the fastest growing sector not only in urban area but in rural area also. The present study aims at understanding the impact of the marketing influences on the buying behaviour of rural telecom customers.

Introduction:

According to Stuart Rose, "If you wait for customers to tell you that you need to do something, you're too late. Good business leaders should be half a step ahead of what customers want i.e. they don't actually quite know they want it. That's what innovation's about. With plan A, we didn't wait for the consumers to tell us"

Today is not the day to wait for the consumers. They are available with hundreds of choices and so it is very important for the marketers to understand their behaviour. Consumer behaviour is defined as the behaviour of the individuals in searching for purchasing, using, evaluating and

Associate Professor, Universal Business School, Lalru

16

disposing of products and services that they expect will satisfy their needs. Consumer behaviour is the sum total a consumer's attitudes, preferences, intention and decisions regarding the consumer behaviour in the market place when purchasing a product or service. Consumers play an important role as much of their time is spent in the market place doing shopping. So it is important to understand consumer behaviour. For marketing point of view, understanding consumer behaviour is crucial to successful delivery of firms offering in the market place. Various marketing application areas of consumer behaviour knowledge are- market opportunity analysis, market selection, marketing mix determination, marketing strategy and effective regulatory policy. Marketing has made a paradigm shift from transactional approach to relational approach. The past two decades have brought dramatic changes in the marketing environment, leading to a rethinking of the marketing discipline. As markets matured and customers became a scarce resource, retaining customers by establishing and maintaining long term relationships has become crucial in order to have a competitive advantage in the market. In an era of intense competition and demanding customers, relationship marketing has emerged as a dominant paradigm and become increasingly important across all industries, particularly in the service industry.

Information and Communication Technology (ICT) is the fastest growing segments of Indian economy. Liberalization of telecom sector in India aims at improving accessibility, availability, reliability and connectivity through private sector participation and to bring about much needed improvement in the quality of Service. The growth in the telecom sector is not only visible in the urban area where as it is also in the rural areas. The TRAI report shows the subscriber base was 33.14 million as on March 2007 to 323.27 million in March 2012 and 342.5 million in March 2013. National Telecom Policy (2012) was announced to transform India and to create an environment for attracting additional investments in the sector. The vision of this policy is to provide secure, reliable, affordable and high quality converged telecommunication services anytime, anywhere for social and economic development. The policy was made with the objective for increasing rural teledensity, high speed and high quality broadband access to all villages, to protect consumer interest, strengthen grievance redressal mechanism, recognition and creation of synergistic alliance of Public sector, adoption of green policy in telecom.

Review of literature

Brown (1950) attempted to determine the factors which influence the buyer for selecting a brand. The study identified the eighteen factors which influence the buying decision of customer. The study concluded that customer pay more attention to the advertising because advertising presents facts about product, its price, packaging etc. highly influence the behavior of customers. **Beckett** (2000) developed a consumer behavior matrix to articulate and classify consumer behavior in the purchasing of financial products and services. The model outlined four ideal types of consumer behavior: Repeat-passive, Rational-active, No purchase, Relational dependent which are examined in the light of consumer confidence and involvement in the financial products and services. By analyzing the consumer behavior matrix, bank providers attempted to identify appropriate strategies which are conducive to increased customer retention and profitability.

Mattila and Wirtz (2000) examined the impact of pre-consumption affect - pleasure and arousal - on consumers' post purchase evaluations. The results of this study suggest that pleasure and arousal, the two dimensions of emotional responses to the preprocess service environment, may interact in determining post-purchase evaluations. The interaction effect was observed for global satisfaction judgments and for repeat purchase behavior, thus suggesting that the combined effects of arousal and pleasure need to be considered in the design of pre-consumption service settings.

Sun (2005) attempted to investigate the issue whether promotion has any effect on consumption and provide insight behavioral explanations with the help of developed structural model that recognizes consumers as rational decision makers. The model speculate that the higher the degree of flexibility of consumption, the bigger the effect of promotion on consumption.

Alvarez and Casiells (2005) examined the influence that sales promotion has on brand choice behavior. To influence consumers' buying behavior, companies have launched strong promotional campaigns or introduce changes in their price policies. The study stated that the price of products and brands at the moment of the purchase constitutes a variable of interest. The study concluded that promotions based on price have the greatest effectiveness. The author

ISSN: 2249-0558 Impact Factor: 7.119

confirmed that price promotions have a greater impact on consumer behavior than other

promotions.

Assael H. (2006) formulated a simple model of consumer behavior, emphasizes the interaction

between the marketer and the consumer. According to author the two broad influences determine

the consumer's choice. The first is the individual consumer whose needs, perceptions of brand

characteristics and attitudes towards alternatives influence brand choice. The second influence on

consumer decision making is the environment which is represented by cultural, subcultures and

face to face groups. Post purchase experience directly influence whether the consumer will buy

the same brand again.

Erda(2008) conducted a comparative study on buying behavior of rural and urban consumer in

regard to mobile phones. The study was conducted to assess the similarities and differences

between buying behavior displayed by both urban and rural consumers. For the study sample of

400 respondents are collected, 200 from each rural and urban area from Jamnagar district. The

study shows that maximum respondents from the rural area fall in the age group of 20-30 years,

male, where as females using mobiles in urban is greater than females in rural area. Maximum

respondents are under graduates hence students have the maximum share. Regarding the

purchase decision of the respondents from rural sample maximum respondents take self decision

only even more than urban sample, followed by family help and friends.

Singh and Goyal (2009) studied the buying behavior of mobile handset user of different age and

gender groups. The prime objective of the study was to understand the variation in the

importance given by different age and gender groups. The study concludes that mobile handset

use of age group of 18-30 are less price sensitive than consumers of other groups, rather they

consider physical appearance, brand, value added features and core technical features more

important.

Toor (2009) described some of the Best Practices for Improved Customer Relationship

Management in order to gain competitive edge and market dominance. According to the author,

retaining and enhancing relationships with current customers is the number one business issue,

19

ISSN: 2249-0558 Impact Factor: 7.119

followed by attracting new customers. There is an increased need to constantly reengineer business strategies that improve the customer experience and increase profitability for the company. Decision makers should investigate the attractiveness and suitability of sales solutions targeted towards improved customer relationship in order to advance revenue growth and margin

Tripathi and Singh (2012) conducted a study to analyze the consumer buying behavior and preferences towards the usage of mobile and consumers search things for their need satisfaction. It also attempts to find that how the consumers react and respond towards advertisement. Sample of 80 customers has been collected based on simple random sampling. The study revealed that the demographic characteristics effect the purchase decision of the consumers that include the gender, age, marital status, education, occupation, income. The study reveals that there are different purposes to buy to use a mobile phone; the choice for a particular mobile service provider depends upon the quality of service, especially in tariff plans, network coverage. As the source of awareness is concerned, study shows that maximum respondents are influenced by the family and friends or advertisements.

Haghshenas, Abedi, Ghorbani, Kamali and Harooni (2013) reviewed consumer behaviour and the factors affecting their purchase decisions. The study concluded that to achieve competitive advantage customer's needs must be satisfied properly. The marketing mix should be according to the interests of customers to cover the interest, the company's competitive positive and to increase the profitability of the company. Market Segmentation techniques must consider client needs. The buying decision process in influenced by two factors- controllable and uncontrollable. The determinant factors of consumer involvement are previous experience, internal risk taking and social perspective.

OBJECTIVES: The main objective of the current study is to examine the impact of various marketing influences factors on the consumer behaviour.

DESIGN OF THE STUDY: Descriptive method of research was used to know the influence of Marketing factors on the purchase behavior of rural customers.

expansion.

POPULATION AND SAMPLE SIZE: The term research population refers to all members of the group of interest to the researcher. The population of the present research was the customers who are using mobile services. The sample was randomly drawn from the Rural areas of Punjab and Chandigarh with the sample size of 200 customers. The questionnaire was personally administered to all selected respondents.

RESEARCH INSTRUMENT USED: The Questionnaire prepared consisted of Likert's five-point scale to measure the impact of various marketing factors where Strongly disagree was coded as 1 while strongly agree was coded as 5.

RELIABILITY OF CRONBACH'S ALPHA

Its reliability has been tested by applying the Cronbach Alpha whose value came out to be 0.765 which is acceptable indicating that the internal consistency of the questionnaire is good.

ANALYSIS & FINDINGS

The study aimed at finding out the different marketing factors that can effect the purchasing decisions of the telecom users in rural area.

Table 1: ANOVA of rural Customers with Various Components of marketing influences based on gender

		Sum of		Mean	F		
Variables		Squares	df	Square	value	P value.	
I give special consideration to	Between Groups	3.802	1	3.802	4.024	0.046*	Significant
brand image	Within Groups	187.073	198	0.945			
	Total	190.875	199				
For me Word Of Mouth is an	Between Groups	0.998	1	0.998	1.300	0.256	Insignificant
important consideration.	Within Groups	151.997	198	0.768			
	Total	152.995	199				
Sales promotion offers and	Between Groups	4.480	1	4.480	4.730	0.031*	Significant
advertising is an important	Within Groups	187.520	198	0.947			
	Total	192.000	199				
Exclusive applications offered	Between Groups	3.916	1	3.916	5.788	0.017*	Significant
by the providers is an	Within Groups	133.959	198	0.677			

important factor	Total	137.875	199				
Advertising campaign is an	Between Groups	0.503	1	0.503	0.799	0.372	Insignificant
important factor	Within Groups	124.492	198	0.629			
	Total	124.995	199				
I give special consideration to	Between Groups	1.818	1	1.818	3.762	0.054	Insignificant
call rate/tariff schemes/STD	Within Groups	95.682	198	0.483			
rates							
	Total	97.500	199				
I give consideration to	Between Groups	1.554	1	1.554	2.191	0.140	Insignificant
different plans for different	Within Groups	140.446	198	0.709			
set of consumers(students,							
working person)	Total	142.000	199				
I give special consideration to	Between Groups	5.327	1	5.327	8.881	0.003**	Significant
Customer Care Services	Within Groups	118.753	198	0.600			
	Total	124.080	199				
I give special consideration to	Between Groups	3.374	1	3.374	5.116	0.025*	Significant
the innovation in services and	Within Groups	130.581	198	0.660			
technology	Total	133.955	199				
Special Offers to buy a	Between Groups	1.285	1	1.285	2.130	0.146	Insignificant
handset from the service	Within Groups	119.495	198	0.604			
provider is an important							
factor	Total	120.780	199				

Table 1 represents results of one-way ANOVA conducted on data collected from rural customers regarding various components of marketing influences. The ANOVA was conducted to find out if there exists some significant difference among the male and female rural customers. The results revealed the variables that showed the significant difference are 'brand image', 'sales promotion offers', 'exclusive applications offered', 'customer care services' and 'innovation in services'.

Table 2: ANOVA of rural Customers with Various Components of marketing influences based on age

		Sum of		Mean			
Variables		Squares	df	Square	F	Sig.	
I give special consideration	Between Groups	12.812	3	4.271	4.701	0.003*	Insignificant
to brand image	Within Groups	178.063	196	0.908			
	Total	190.875	199				
For me Word Of Mouth is	Between Groups	0.714	3	0.238	0.306	0.821	Insignificant
an important consideration.	Within Groups	152.281	196	0.777			
	Total	152.995	199				
Sales promotion offers and	Between Groups	3.838	3	1.279	1.333	0.265	Insignificant
advertising is an important	Within Groups	188.162	196	0.960			
	Total	192.000	199				
Exclusive applications	Between Groups	4.562	3	1.521	2.236	0.085	Insignificant
offered by the providers is	Within Groups	133.313	196	0.680			
an important factor	Total	137.875	199				
Advertising campaign is	Between Groups	2.307	3	0.769	1.229	0.300	Insignificant
an important factor	Within Groups	122.688	196	0.626			
	Total	124.995	199				
I give special consideration	Between Groups	3.047	3	1.016	2.108	0.101	Insignificant
to call rate/tariff	Within Groups	94.453	196	0.482			
schemes/STD rates	Total	97.500	199				
I give consideration to	Between Groups	0.826	3	0.275	0.382	0.766	Insignificant
different plans for different	Within Groups	141.174	196	0.720			
set of consumers(students,							
working person)	Total	142.000	199				
I give special consideration	Between Groups	3.944	3	1.315	2.145	0.096	Insignificant
to Customer Care Services	Within Groups	120.136	196	0.613			

	Total	124.080	199				
I give special consideration	Between Groups	3.055	3	1.018	1.525	0.209	Insignificant
to the innovation in	Within Groups	130.900	196	0.668			
services and technology							
	Total	133.955	199				
Special Offers to buy a	Between Groups	0.755	3	0.252	0.411	0.745	Insignificant
handset from the service	Within Groups	120.025	196	0.612			
provider is an important							
factor	Total	120.780	199				

Table 2 shows the results of one-way ANOVA conducted on data collected from rural customers regarding various components of marketing influences. The ANOVA was conducted to find out if there exists some significant difference among rural customers on the basis of age. The results revealed that there was no significant difference.

Table 3: ANOVA of rural Customers with Various Components of marketing influences based on marital status

¥7		Sum of		Mean			
Variables		Squares	df	Square	F	Sig.	
I give special consideration to	Between Groups	3.230	1	3.230	3.408	0.066	Insignificant
brand image	Within Groups	187.645	198	0.948			
	Total	190.875	199				
For me Word Of Mouth is an	Between Groups	0.957	1	0.957	1.246	0.266	Insignificant
important consideration.	Within Groups	152.038	198	0.768			
	Total	152.995	199				
Sales promotion offers and	Between Groups	1.253	1	1.253	1.301	0.255	Insignificant
advertising is an important	Within Groups	190.747	198	0.963			
	Total	192.000	199				
Exclusive applications offered by	Between Groups	0.633	1	0.633	0.913	0.340	Insignificant
the providers is an important factor	Within Groups	137.242	198	0.693			
	Total	137.875	199				
Advertising campaign is an	Between Groups	0.467	1	0.467	0.743	0.390	Insignificant
important factor	Within Groups	124.528	198	0.629			
	Total	124.995	199				
I give special consideration to call	Between Groups	2.531	1	2.531	5.277	0.023*	Significant
rate/tariff schemes/STD rates	Within Groups	94.969	198	0.480			
	Total	97.500	199				
I give consideration to different	Between Groups	0.043	1	0.043	0.059	0.808	Insignificant
plans for different set of	Within Groups	141.957	198	0.717			
consumers(students, working	Total	142.000	199				
person)							
I give special consideration to	Between Groups	0.025	1	0.025	0.040	0.841	Insignificant

Customer Care Services	Within Groups	124.055	198	0.627			
	Total	124.080	199				
I give special consideration to the	Between Groups	3.083	1	3.083	4.665	0.032*	Significant
innovation in services and	Within Groups	130.872	198	0.661			
technology	Total	133.955	199				
Special Offers to buy a handset	Between Groups	1.163	1	1.163	1.925	0.167	Insignificant
from the service provider is an	Within Groups	119.617	198	0.604			
important factor	Total	120.780	199				

^{**}p<0.01 and *p<0.05 levels

Table 3 shows the results of one-way ANOVA conducted on data collected from rural customers regarding various components of marketing influences. The ANOVA was conducted to find out if there exists some significant difference among rural customers on the basis of marital status. The results revealed consideration to 'tariff plans' and 'innovation in services' are the variables that showed the significant difference.

Table 4: ANOVA of rural Customers with Various Components of marketing influences based on qualification

		Sum of		Mean			
Variables		Squares	df	Square	F	Sig.	
I give special consideration to brand image	Between Groups	9.238	3	3.079	3.323	0.021*	Significant
	Within Groups	181.637	196	0.927			
	Total	190.875	199				
For me Word Of Mouth is an important	Between Groups	7.468	3	2.489	3.353	0.020*	Significant
consideration.	Within Groups	145.527	196	0.742			
	Total	152.995	199				
Sales promotion offers and advertising is	Between Groups	16.346	3	5.449	6.080	0.001**	Significant
	Within Groups	175.654	196	0.896	0.000	0.001	Significani
an important				0.890			
	Total	192.000	199				
Exclusive applications offered by the	Between Groups	13.293	3	4.431	6.971	0.000**	Significant
providers is an important factor	Within Groups	124.582	196	0.636			
	Total	137.875	199				
Advertising campaign is an important	Between Groups	11.364	3	3.788	6.534	0.000**	Significant
factor	Within Groups	113.631	196	0.580			
	Total	124.995	199				
I give special consideration to call	Between Groups	6.925	3	2.308	4.995	0.002**	Significant
rate/tariff schemes/STD rates	Within Groups	90.575	196	0.462			
	Total	97.500	199				
I give consideration to different plans for	Between Groups	3.292	3	1.097	1.551	0.203	Insignificant
different set of consumers(students,	Within Groups	138.708	196	0.708			
working person)	Total	142.000	199				
I give special consideration to Customer	Between Groups	6.025	3	2.008	3.334	0.021*	Significant
		-					

Care Services	Within Groups	118.055	196	0.602			
	Total	124.080	199				
I give special consideration to the	Between Groups	6.616	3	2.205	3.395	0.019*	Significant
innovation in services and technology	Within Groups	127.339	196	0.650			
	Total	133.955	199				
Special Offers to buy a handset from the	Between Groups	8.510	3	2.837	4.952	0.002**	Significant
service provider is an important factor	Within Groups	112.270	196	0.573			
	Total	120.780	199				

^{**}p<0.01 and *p<0.05 levels

Table 4 represents results of one-way ANOVA conducted on data collected from rural customers regarding various components of marketing influences. The ANOVA was conducted to find out if there exists some significant difference among the rural customers on the basis of qualification. The results revealed the variables that showed the significant difference are 'brand image', 'word of mouth', 'sales promotion offers', 'exclusive applications offered', 'advertisement campaign', 'consideration to call tariff', 'customer care services', 'innovation in services' and 'special offers to buy a handset'.

Table 5: ANOVA of rural Customers with Various Components of marketing influences based on occupation

Variables		Sum of		Mean			
variables		Squares	df	Square	F	Sig.	
I give special consideration	Between Groups	10.785	4	2.696	2.920	0.022*	Significant
to brand image	Within Groups	180.090	195	0.924			
	Total	190.875	199				
For me Word Of Mouth is	Between Groups	8.045	4	2.011	2.706	0.032	Insignificant
an important consideration.	Within Groups	144.950	195	0.743			
	Total	152.995	199				
Sales promotion offers and	Between Groups	10.587	4	2.647	2.845	0.025*	Significant

Within Groups	181.413	195	0.930			
Total	192.000	199				
Between Groups	7.728	4	1.932	2.895	0.023*	Significant
Within Groups	130.147	195	0.667			
Total	137.875	199				
Between Groups	2.084	4	0.521	0.827	0.510	Insignificant
Within Groups	122.911	195	0.630			
Total	124.995	199				
Between Groups	3.745	4	0.936	1.948	0.104	Insignificant
Within Groups	93.755	195	0.481			
Total	97.500	199				
Between Groups	4.219	4	1.055	1.493	0.206	Insignificant
Within Groups	137.781	195	0.707			
Total	142.000	199				
Between Groups	8.370	4	2.092	3.526	0.008**	Significant
Within Groups	115.710	195	0.593			
Total	124.080	199				
Between Groups	4.944	4	1.236	1.868	0.118	Insignificant
Within Groups	129.011	195	0.662			
Total	133.955	199				
Between Groups	4.247	4	1.062	1.777	0.135	Insignificant
Within Groups	116.533	195	0.598			
Total	120.780	199				
	Total Between Groups Within Groups Total Between Groups Total Between Groups Total Between Groups Total Between Groups Within Groups	Total 192.000 Between Groups 7.728 Within Groups 130.147 Total 137.875 Between Groups 2.084 Within Groups 122.911 Total 124.995 Between Groups 3.745 Within Groups 93.755 Total 97.500 Between Groups 4.219 Within Groups 137.781 Total 142.000 Between Groups 8.370 Within Groups 115.710 Total 124.080 Between Groups 4.944 Within Groups 129.011 Total 133.955 Between Groups 4.247 Within Groups 116.533	Total 192.000 199 Between Groups 7.728 4 Within Groups 130.147 195 Total 137.875 199 Between Groups 2.084 4 Within Groups 122.911 195 Total 124.995 199 Between Groups 3.745 4 Within Groups 93.755 195 Total 97.500 199 Between Groups 4.219 4 Within Groups 137.781 195 Total 142.000 199 Between Groups 8.370 4 Within Groups 115.710 195 Total 124.080 199 Between Groups 4.944 4 Within Groups 129.011 195 Total 133.955 199 Between Groups 4.247 4 Within Groups 116.533 195	Total 192.000 199 Between Groups 7.728 4 1.932 Within Groups 130.147 195 0.667 Total 137.875 199 199 Between Groups 2.084 4 0.521 Within Groups 122.911 195 0.630 Total 124.995 199 199 Between Groups 3.745 4 0.936 Within Groups 93.755 195 0.481 Total 97.500 199 199 Between Groups 4.219 4 1.055 Within Groups 137.781 195 0.707 Total 142.000 199 199 Between Groups 8.370 4 2.092 Within Groups 115.710 195 0.593 Total 124.080 199 199 Between Groups 4.944 4 1.236 Within Groups 129.011 195 0.662 Total 133.955 199 199 Between Groups<	Total 192.000 199 Image: Control of the part of the	Total 192.000 199 Between Groups 7.728 4 1.932 2.895 0.023* Within Groups 130.147 195 0.667 Total 137.875 199 Between Groups 2.084 4 0.521 0.827 0.510 Within Groups 122.911 195 0.630 Total 124.995 199 Between Groups 3.745 4 0.936 1.948 0.104 Within Groups 93.755 195 0.481 Total 97.500 199 Within Groups 137.781 195 0.707 Total 142.000 199 Within Groups 115.710 195 0.593 Total 124.080

^{**}p<0.01 and *p<0.05 levels

Table 5 represents results of one-way ANOVA conducted on data collected from rural customers regarding various components of marketing influences. The ANOVA was conducted to find out if there exists some significant difference among the rural customers on the basis of occupation.

The results revealed the variables that showed the significant difference are 'brand image', 'sales promotion offers', 'exclusive applications offered' and 'customer care services'.

Table 6: ANOVA of rural Customers with Various Components of marketing influences based on income

Variables		Sum of		Mean			
Variables		Squares	df	Square	F	Sig.	
I give special consideration	Between Groups	14.824	3	4.941	5.501	0.001**	Significant
to brand image	Within Groups	176.051	196	0.898			
	Total	190.875	199				
For me Word Of Mouth is	Between Groups	17.766	3	5.922	8.583	0.000**	Significant
an important consideration.	Within Groups	135.229	196	0.690			
	Total	152.995	199				
Sales promotion offers and	Between Groups	28.685	3	9.562	11.475	0.000**	Significant
advertising is an important	Within Groups	163.315	196	0.833			
	Total	192.000	199				
Exclusive applications	Between Groups	14.115	3	4.705	7.451	0.000**	Significant
offered by the providers is	Within Groups	123.760	196	0.631			
an important factor	Total	137.875	199				
Advertising campaign is an	Between Groups	9.028	3	3.009	5.086	0.002**	Significant
important factor	Within Groups	115.967	196	0.592			
	Total	124.995	199				
I give special consideration	Between Groups	17.107	3	5.702	13.903	0.000**	Significant
to call rate/tariff	Within Groups	80.393	196	0.410			
schemes/STD rates	Total	97.500	199				
I give consideration to	Between Groups	7.729	3	2.576	3.761	0.012**	Significant
different plans for different	Within Groups	134.271	196	0.685			
set of consumers(students,	Total	142.000	199				

working person)							
I give special consideration	Between Groups	15.271	3	5.090	9.169	0.000**	Significant
to Customer Care Services	Within Groups	108.809	196	0.555			
	Total	124.080	199				
I give special consideration	Between Groups	10.240	3	3.413	5.408	0.001**	Significant
to the innovation in services	Within Groups	123.715	196	0.631			
and technology	Total	133.955	199				
Special Offers to buy a	Between Groups	7.525	3	2.508	4.341	0.005**	Significant
handset from the service	Within Groups	113.255	196	0.578			
provider is an important factor	Total	120.780	199				

Table 6 represents results of one-way ANOVA conducted on data collected from rural customers regarding various components of marketing influences. The ANOVA was conducted to find out if there exists some significant difference among the rural customers on the basis of income. The results revealed the variables that showed the significant difference are 'brand image', 'word of mouth', 'sales promotion offers', 'exclusive applications offered', 'advertisement campaign', 'consideration to call tariff', 'special plans for different strata', 'customer care services', 'innovation in services' and 'special offers to buy a handset'.

CONCLUSION: The present study aimed at finding out the various marketing factors that influence the consumer's buying behaviour. The results of one-way ANOVA showed various significant factors. In case of gender the variables that showed the significant difference are 'brand image', 'sales promotion offers', 'exclusive applications offered', 'customer care services' and 'innovation in services' For age factor, there is no significant difference. For marital status, the variables that showed the significant difference are tariff plans' and 'innovation in services'. In regard to qualification, the variables that showed the significant difference are 'brand image', 'word of mouth', 'sales promotion offers', 'exclusive applications offered', 'advertisement campaign', 'consideration to call tariff', 'customer care services', 'innovation in services' and 'special offers to buy a handset. The results for occupation revealed the variables that showed the significant difference are 'brand image', 'sales promotion offers',

'exclusive applications offered' and 'customer care services'. In regard to their income level the variables that showed the significant difference are 'brand image', 'word of mouth', 'sales promotion offers', 'exclusive applications offered', 'advertisement campaign', 'consideration to call tariff', 'special plans for different strata', 'customer care services', 'innovation in services' and 'special offers to buy a handset'.

References:

- Brown, W. F. (1950): "The determination of factor influencing Brand Choice", The Journal of Marketing, Vol. 14, No. 5, pp. 699-706
- Beckett, A., Hewer, P. and Howcroft, B. (2000): "An exposition of consumer behavior in the financial service industry", International Journal of Bank Marketing, Vol. 18, No. 1, pp. 15-26.
- Alvarez, B.A and Casiells R.V. (2005): "Consumer evaluations of sales promotion: the effect on brand choice", European Journal of Marketing, Vol. 39, No. 1, pp. 54-70.
- Erda, C.V. (2008): "A Comparative Study on Buying Behaviour of Rural and Urban Consumer on Mobile Phone in Jamnagar" Indian Institute of Management Kozhikode, http://hdl.handle.net/2259/426
- Mattila, A. and Wirtz, J. (2000): "The role of preconsumption affect in post purchase evaluation of services" Psychology & Marketing, Vol. 17 (7), pp 587 605
- Singh, J. and Goyal, B.B. (2009): "Mobile Handset Buying Behavior of Different Age and Gender Groups" International Journal of Business and Management, Vol 4(5), pp-175-187
- Sun, B. (2005): "Promotion effects on endogenous consumption", Marketing Science, Vol. 24, No. 3, pp. 430-443.
- Toor, T. P. (2009): "Creating competitive edge through improved customer relationship Management", Business Strategy Series, Vol. 10, No. 1, pp. 55-60.
- Tripathi and Singh, S. K. (2012): "Consumer buying behavior and preferences towards the usage of mobile and consumers search things for their need satisfaction" Current Trends in Technology and Sciences, Vol. 1(2), pp 62-70